

1
2
3
4
5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
7 AT SEATTLE

8 DAMON CHARLES WILLIAMS,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 PRK FUNDING SERVICES, INC., *et al.*,

12 Defendants.

13 CASE NO. C18-48RSM

14 ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S
15 MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE OVER-
16 LENGTH RESPONSE

17 This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File Memorandum in
18 Excess of Page Limit. Dkt. #141. Plaintiff seeks to "exceed the page limits imposed by the Local
19 Rule on opposition papers to Motion for Summary Judgments, by 30 pages." *Id.* Plaintiff asserts
20 that "[t]he requested extension is necessary and important to this Court's ability to receive a full
21 discussion of the issues presented" and characterizes those issues as complex. *Id.*

22 "Motions seeking approval to file an over-length motion or brief are disfavored but may
23 be filed subject to [several procedural requirements]." LCR 7(f). Plaintiff has complied with the
24 procedural requirements but seeks a significant increase in the page limit—from 24 to 54.¹
25 Plaintiff has not provided the Court a compelling reason that an additional 30 pages are necessary.
26 The matters raised in Defendant's motion for summary judgment (Dkt. #122) are not overly

27

¹ The page limit applicable to Defendant's reply would likewise be extended from 12 pages to
28 27 pages. See LCR 7(f)(4).

1 complex as Defendant's addressed the issues in just 11 pages. The Court is also familiar with
2 the factual background of this case and Plaintiff may focus on the most important factual issues.
3 Lastly, Plaintiff's other filings have often advanced relevant and irrelevant arguments. Thus, the
4 well-reasoned page limits established by Local Civil Rule 7(f) are reasonable and will serve a
5 beneficial purpose by focusing Plaintiff's response on the most pertinent facts and issues.

6 Accordingly, having reviewed Plaintiff's Motion and the remainder of the record, the
7 Court hereby finds and ORDERS that Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to File Memorandum in
8 Excess of Page Limit (Dkt. #141) is DENIED.
9

10 DATED this 31st day of August, 2018.

11
12 
13 RICARDO S. MARTINEZ
14 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27